FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT TO WEROUE, NEW MEXICO
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

DEC 28 1998

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Klutmmach CLERK

v.

No. CIV-98-1455 JC/RJD CR-96-228 JC

MICHAEL T. JOHNSON,

Defendant.

ENTERED ON DOCKET

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court *sua sponte* to consider Defendant's motion for sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) filed November 13, 1998. Defendant pled guilty to one count of an indictment for violating 21 U.S.C. § 841(b)(1)(B) and was sentenced on December 16, 1996. He was given a prison sentence of 97 months under the United Stated Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G."), based on an offense level of 29 and a criminal history category of II. He claims that because the applicable sentencing range was lowered retroactively by U.S.S.G. Amendment 484, he is entitled to a reduction in his sentence.

The scope of relief available under § 3582(c)(2) is narrow: if, after a defendant is sentenced, the Sentencing Commission subsequently lowers the applicable sentencing range, U.S.S.G. Ch. 5 Part A, the defendant may move for reduction of sentence in accordance with the factors in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). § 3582(c)(2). Defendant's offense level of 29 was determined in part by reference to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1. As Defendant correctly points out, the application notes to § 2D1.1 were amended retroactively by Amendment 484. § 2D1.1 Historical Note; § 1B1.10(c); App. C. Even though Amendment 484 is retroactive, it was enacted November 1,

3

1993, almost three years before Defendant was sentenced, and thus does not constitute a "sentencing range that has been subsequently lowered." § 3582(c)(2). The claims in the instant motion, therefore, are not cognizable under § 3582(c)(2). The motion will be denied and this proceeding dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's motion under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) is hereby DENIED, and this proceeding is DISMISSED.

NITED STATES DISTRICT JU